Productive Use of Domestic Rural Water Systems: The Kenya Case

MUS Group Meeting January 19, 2012

Ralph Hall, Virginia Tech <u>rphall@vt.edu</u> Jenna Davis, Stanford University <u>jennadavis@stanford.edu</u>

Sara Marks, Stanford University Robert Hope, University of Oxford





- 10 districts in Rift Valley, Central, and Eastern provinces
- Stratified random sample of 50 water systems

Field Research Components (Kenya)

- Household Surveys: 1,916
- Engineering Assessments: 50
- Leader interview: 50
- Water committee interview: 50
- Water operator interview: 49
- Women's Focus groups: 15

Three Types of Piped Water System

 Surface water with gravity distribution systems (n=23)

- Groundwater, boreholes with pumped distribution systems (n=25)
- Surface water with pumped distribution systems (n=2)





Water Usage by Service Level



Weighted average of wet and dry season usage. "Other" includes Surface water, rain water, and wells.

To what extent and under what conditions does productive use of domestic piped water occur?

Extent and Correlates of Productive Use

- 71% use water for productive activities (all sources, income generating and HH consumption)
- 54% use piped water
- 43% use piped water & earn income
- Small-scale agriculture & livestock
- 11% of total HH income earned through piped water using activities



Crop Cultivation, Livestock Rearing, and Income Generation by Principal Water Source

	Household		
	water tap		
	(n=418)		
% using indicated water source for	60		
irrigation			
% earning income from crops	73		
(includes rainfed)			
% earning income from crops irrigated	52		
with piped water in the dry season			
% using indicated water source for	00		
livestock	90		
% earning income from	70		
livestock	/8		
% earning income from livestock using	71		
piped water in the dry season	/1		

Note: Piped water categories are exclusive, i.e., households using multiple piped water sources (n=33) were excluded from analysis. Similarly, households in the "non-piped" category do not use any piped water source. *Includes open wells, borewells with handpumps, and surface water sources.

Extent and Correlates of Productive Use

Greater extent of productive use in villages with:

- Lower price / m³
- Smaller share of HHs below poverty line
- Existence of an agricultural, health, microfinance, or W&S project in past 5 years

But: Negative association with all-weather road



What are the incremental costs of, and expected income generated by, upgrading 'basic needs' systems to productive use capacity?

Financial Analysis of Productive Use System Designs

 Comparing incremental (capital and) O&M costs of system capacity expansion (to 50 LPCD) to anticipated incremental income that could be generated (*not a full CBA*)



Financial Analysis of Productive Use System Designs, cont.

- 44% of systems (22) could be upgraded to supply 50 LPCD
- Mean capital cost of upgrades for gravity systems is US\$14 pc, versus US\$4 for pumped systems
- Mean annual incremental O&M cost for gravity systems is US\$4 pc, versus US\$7 for pumped systems
- Over all upgradable systems, incremental O&M costs are US\$0.50 - \$1.50 / m³
 - These values roughly double the unit costs of water

Financial Analysis of Productive Use System Designs, cont.

 Where water is available, incremental income expected from upgrades generally exceeds incremental costs

RCBR: 10 yr, 15%		Productive supply (50 LPCD) (n=22)			Repayment period with universal cost recovery (years)	
		Median	Mean	St.dev.	Mean (St.dev.)	Min. (Max.)
Ground - water, pumped (n=17)	Kiosk, gross water income	7.8	12.5	13.6	2.1 (1.7)	0.1 (5.6)
	Current piped water expenditure	1.4	2.9	4.2		
	Opportunity cost, water collection	1.9	3.9	5.0		
Surface water, gravity (n=22)	Household tap, gross water income	16.4	22.7	20.3	0.7 (0.6)	0.3 (3.4)
	Current piped water expenditure	0.6	2.5	6.3		
	Opportunity cost, water collection	1.6	2.4	10.5		

What evidence exists regarding the financial sustainability of piped water systems used for income-generating activities?

Financial Sustainability

• Financial sustainability

- % of recurrent costs (modeled) covered by user fees (OLS)
- Positively associated with:
 - % of piped water allocated to income generation (p=.06)
 - Price (p=.07)
 - Water committee received training in past 2 years (p=.04)
- Negatively associated with:
 - % of total income attributable to water-using activities (p=.02)

Technical Sustainability

Technical sustainability

- Indices of breakdown rate/duration, condition of infrastructure
- Negatively associated with:
 - Taps per 1000 persons (*i.e., technical sustainability decreases with greater # of private taps*)
 - Population served by system

Who benefits when piped water supply systems are used for productive purposes?

Distribution of Benefits from Productive Use

- Low-income (but not lowest) HHs more dependent on productive use than high-income HHs
- Higher-income (but not highest) HHs capture disproportionate share of productive use income
- Causal direction between wealth and productive use unclear
- No evidence that <u>more</u> piped water leads to income gains for women once other HH-level characteristics are taken into account

Features that Increase the Likelihood that a HH will Earn an Income from Productive Activities (Logit)

	Exp(B)
Earns commercial income (dummy)	1.4
Earns income from growing crops (dummy)	2.1
Earns income from raising livestock (dummy)	8.3
1+ adult(s) in household has salaried employment (dummy)	0.6
Earns income from service provision (dummy)	2.5
Has a household water tap (dummy)	4.0
Has a compound water tap (dummy)	2.5
Annual household income less than US\$108	0.1

All p<u><</u>0.01. Quasi r2=0.54. N=1166

Implications for Planning

- Extent of productive use is associated with penetration of individual connections—not the predominant model for rural water investments in Kenya (11-12% coverage between 1990-2008)
- Water source development necessary to upgrade at least half of sampled water systems
- Households deriving the largest share of their income from productive activities are neither the poorest nor wealthiest families
- Facilitating closer, cheaper water may be insufficient to support (spur) productive use; ancillary investments needed, outside water sector

Questions?